

Superforms and the $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ supersymmetric sigma model

Laurent Delisle^{1,2}

August 28, 2015

Abstract

We present a characterisation of Maurer-Cartan 1-superforms associated to the two-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model. We, then, solve the associated linear spectral problem and use its solutions to describe an integrable system for a $su(N)$ -valued map.

1 Introduction

The study of integrable systems is the subject of great interest in the mathematics and physics communities. In particular, the solutions of the bosonic and supersymmetric two-dimensional $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma models have been considered and used to investigate the geometry of surfaces immersed in the Lie algebra $su(N)$ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Indeed, the present author has considered these surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature and gave a classification of solutions leading to such surfaces in terms of the Veronese curve [2, 4, 5, 6].

In the bosonic model, invariant recurrence relations linking the solutions of the associated linear spectral problem, the solutions of the model and the surfaces immersed in the Lie algebra $su(N)$ were given [12]. These links were established using the Sym-Tafel [12, 13] and Weierstrass immersion [15] formulas. In the supersymmetric model, such an analysis is absent and this was, until now, partially due to the absence of a systematic procedure of constructing non-holomorphic solutions. This problem was recently solved in [1], where the authors generalized the bosonic holomorphic method. Using these new solutions, we were interested to study, in the supersymmetric context, the solutions of the associated linear spectral problem, the surfaces immersed in the Lie algebra $su(N)$ and the links between them. The starting point to this analysis is based on the following result on superharmonic maps into symmetric spaces [16, 17] (noting that $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ is indeed symmetric):

Theorem (Khemar) [16] Let α be a 1-superform on $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ with values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the Lie group G . Then there exists $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^{1|1} \rightarrow G$ such that $\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1}d\mathcal{F}$ if and only if $d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha = 0$.

This theorem describes the Maurer-Cartan 1-superform and, in this paper, we propose to characterize these superforms for the supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model. This characterisation is important for the study of the integrability of the model. These superforms can then be used to determine a linear spectral problem [16] and the solutions of this problem, associated to the new solutions of the model, is of great interest in determining the Weierstrass immersion formula from the Sym-Tafel formula [12, 13]. These formulas will give $su(N)$ -valued maps (or surfaces) which solves an integrable system of first order equations. Note that these surfaces were extensively studied in the bosonic model [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

The paper is divided as follows. In section 2, we recall, in order for the paper to be self-contained, the definition of the two-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model, its gauge-invariant representation and, briefly, present the new technique of solving the

¹Institut de mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, UP7D-Campus des Grands Moulins, Bâtiment Sophie Germain, Cases 7012, 75205 Paris Cedex 13.

²email:laurent.delisle@imj-prg.fr

Euler-Lagrange equations associated to this model. Using those newly discovered solutions, we give nice representations of the Lagrangian and topological densities in terms of the supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ Laplacian operator [14]. The following section gives a description of superforms in $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ and the conditions for which they are closed, exact and $su(N)$ -valued. Section 4 is devoted to the complete characterisation of the Maurer-Cartan 1-superform, the explicit solutions of the associated linear spectral problem and the construction of surfaces using these solutions. Finally, we conclude with some future outlooks.

2 The supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model

In order for the paper to be self-contained, we recall the definition of the two-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model and its gauge-invariant representation [14]. We, also, describe a special class of solutions for this model [1] and use them to deduce, in subsequent sections, different properties.

2.1 The model

The two-dimensional supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$ sigma model [14] describes the collection of bosonic superfields Φ defined on the two-dimensional Euclidean complex superspace $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ [18, 19] of local coordinates $(x_+, x_-, \theta_+, \theta_-)$ with values in the Grassmannian manifold $\mathbb{C}P^{N-1}$. In this superspace formulation, (x_+, x_-) are local coordinates of the complex plane \mathbb{C} with $x_+^\dagger = x_-$ and (θ_+, θ_-) are complex odd Grassmann variables with $\theta_+^\dagger = \theta_-$ satisfying the anti-commutation relations:

$$\theta_+ \theta_- + \theta_- \theta_+ = 0, \quad \theta_+^2 = \theta_-^2 = 0. \quad (1)$$

The superfields Φ are described by N -components vectors (*i.e.* $\Phi \in (\mathbb{C}^{1|1})^N$) satisfying the non-linear constraint

$$|\Phi|^2 = \Phi^\dagger \Phi = 1. \quad (2)$$

The classical solutions Φ of the model are the critical points of finite energy of the action functional $S(\Phi) = \int d^2\theta d^2x \mathcal{L}(\Phi)$ where the Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi) = 2(|\check{D}_+ \Phi|^2 - |\check{D}_- \Phi|^2). \quad (3)$$

The covariant derivatives \check{D}_\pm are constructed from the gauge invariance of the action $S(\Phi)$ under the transformation $\Phi' = V\Phi U$ where $U \in U(1)$ and $V \in U(N)$ are, respectively, local and global gauge transformations of the unitary group. They are explicitly given as

$$\check{D}_\pm \Lambda = \check{\partial}_\pm \Lambda - \Lambda(\Phi^\dagger \check{\partial}_\pm \Phi), \quad (4)$$

where the operators $\check{\partial}_\pm$ are the supersymmetric-invariant vector fields defined by

$$\check{\partial}_\pm = -i\partial_{\theta_\pm} + \theta_\pm \partial_\pm \quad (5)$$

satisfying $\check{\partial}_\pm^2 = -i\partial_{x_\pm} \equiv -i\partial_\pm$. Using the least action principle, we deduce the Euler-Lagrange equations given by

$$\check{D}_+ \check{D}_- \Phi + |\check{D}_- \Phi|^2 \Phi = 0, \quad |\Phi|^2 = 1 \quad (6)$$

and, in order to get finite action solutions Φ , we impose the boundary conditions

$$\check{D}_\pm \Phi \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x_+ x_- \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (7)$$

An other important object, which will be discussed in more details in section 2.4, associated to the model is the topological density \mathcal{Q} defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}(\Phi) = 2(|\check{D}_+ \Phi|^2 + |\check{D}_- \Phi|^2). \quad (8)$$

2.2 The gauge-invariant representation

In this section, we recall the gauge-invariant formulation of the supersymmetric \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} sigma model in terms of hermitian and orthogonal projectors \mathbb{P} of rank one [14]. These projectors satisfy the properties

$$\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}^\dagger = \mathbb{P}, \quad \text{Tr}(\mathbb{P}) = 1 \quad (9)$$

and such projectors may be constructed from a solution Φ of the model as

$$\mathbb{P} = \Phi \otimes \Phi^\dagger. \quad (10)$$

Indeed, the properties (9) are satisfied following the non-linear constraint (2). In this representation, the Euler-Lagrange equations (6) are equivalently written as

$$[\check{\partial}_+ \check{\partial}_- \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}] = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}, \quad (11)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the usual matrix commutator. We notice that this formalism is gauge-invariant since, under gauge transformation $\Phi' = V\Phi U$, the projector \mathbb{P} changes as $\mathbb{P}' = V\mathbb{P}V^\dagger$ which is free from the local gauge unitary transformation U . An important observation, which will become handy, is that the Euler-Lagrange equations may be reformulated as a super-conservation law [1, 2, 3] as

$$\check{\partial}_- \varphi_+ - \check{\partial}_+ \varphi_+^\dagger = 0, \quad \varphi_+ = i[\mathbb{P}, \check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}]. \quad (12)$$

2.3 A special class of solutions

In this section, we recall the construction, based on the holomorphic method, of a special class of solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations [1].

Consider a set of linearly independent holomorphic (*i.e.* functions of (x_+, θ_+)) N -components vectors $\{\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1}\}$ defined by the system of equations

$$\epsilon_1 \psi_1 = \check{\partial}_+ \psi_0, \quad \epsilon_2 \psi_2 = \check{\partial}_+ \psi_1, \quad \dots, \quad \epsilon_{N-1} \psi_{N-1} = \check{\partial}_+ \psi_{N-2}, \quad (13)$$

where $\epsilon_j = \epsilon_j(x_+, \theta_+)$ are scalar fermionic functions for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. From the Gram-Schmidt procedure, we construct, from this set, a new set of orthogonal vectors $\{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}\}$ defined as

$$z_0 = \psi_0, \quad z_j = \left(\mathbb{I} - \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathbb{P}_k \right) \psi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (14)$$

where the quantities \mathbb{P}_k are rank one, hermitian and orthogonal projectors defined as

$$\mathbb{P}_k = \Phi_k \otimes \Phi_k^\dagger = \frac{z_k \otimes z_k^\dagger}{|z_k|^2}, \quad \Phi_k = \frac{z_k}{|z_k|}, \quad (15)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. As shown in [1], Φ_k and \mathbb{P}_k are solutions, respectively, of the Euler-Lagrange equations (6) and (11) for all k . The solutions corresponding to $k = 0$ and $k = N-1$ are known, respectively, as holomorphic and anti-holomorphic while the others are called non-holomorphic or mixed. Furthermore, the vectors z_k satisfy the convenient properties

$$\check{\partial}_+ \left(\frac{z_j}{|z_j|^2} \right) = \epsilon_{j+1} \frac{z_{j+1}}{|z_j|^2}, \quad \check{\partial}_- z_j = -\epsilon_j^\dagger \frac{|z_j|^2}{|z_{j-1}|^2} z_{j-1} \quad (16)$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

2.4 The Lagrangian and topological densities

In this section, we give representations of the topological and Lagrangian densities associated to these recently discovered solutions in terms of the supersymmetric $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ Laplacian operator. Indeed, given a solution Φ_k as defined in (15), we have that the covariant derivatives \check{D}_\pm satisfy

$$|\check{D}_\pm \Phi_k|^2 = \pm |\epsilon_{k+\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}}|^2 \frac{|z_{k+\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}}|^2}{|z_{k-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2}}|^2} \quad (17)$$

from which we deduce the explicit expressions of the Lagrangian (3) and topological (8) densities as

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{L}(\Phi_k) = 2 \left(|\epsilon_{k+1}|^2 \frac{|z_{k+1}|^2}{|z_k|^2} + |\epsilon_k|^2 \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_{k-1}|^2} \right), \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_k = \mathcal{Q}(\Phi_k) = 2 \left(|\epsilon_{k+1}|^2 \frac{|z_{k+1}|^2}{|z_k|^2} - |\epsilon_k|^2 \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_{k-1}|^2} \right). \quad (19)$$

As in the bosonic model [5] and following the properties (16), we have that

$$\check{\partial}_- \check{\partial}_+ \log |z_k|^2 = |\epsilon_{k+1}|^2 \frac{|z_{k+1}|^2}{|z_k|^2} - |\epsilon_k|^2 \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_{k-1}|^2} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}_k \quad (20)$$

and, for the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_k , we easily deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}_k = 2 \check{\partial}_- \check{\partial}_+ \log (|z_k|^2 |z_{k-1}|^4 |z_{k-2}|^4 \cdots |z_0|^4). \quad (21)$$

We can go further and enumerate some nice properties of the quantities $\log |z_k|^2$ or, equivalently, of the topological densities \mathcal{Q}_k . Indeed, we can make the observations:

1. The first property that one can deduce about the topological densities \mathcal{Q}_k is that they sum to zero, *i.e.*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{Q}_k = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \check{\partial}_- \check{\partial}_+ \log |z_k|^2 = 0. \quad (22)$$

Using a Taylor expansion, we may thus write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \log |z_k|^2 = A_0(x_+, x_-) + i\theta_+ \zeta_+(x_+, x_-) + i\theta_- \zeta_-(x_+, x_-) - \theta_+ \theta_- A_{+-}(x_+, x_-), \quad (23)$$

where A_0, A_{+-} are bosonic scalar functions and ζ_+, ζ_- are fermionic scalar functions. From (22), we deduce that

$$A_0(x_+, x_-) = a_0(x_+) + a_1(x_-), \quad \zeta_+ = \zeta_+(x_+), \quad \zeta_- = \zeta_-(x_-), \quad A_{+-} = 0. \quad (24)$$

Using the properties (16), we obtain

$$\check{\partial}_+ \log |z_k|^2 = \epsilon_{k+1} \left(\frac{z_k}{|z_k|^2} \right)^\dagger \psi_{k+1} - \epsilon_k \left(\frac{z_{k-1}}{|z_{k-1}|^2} \right)^\dagger \psi_k, \quad (25)$$

and this allows us to deduce that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \check{\partial}_+ \log |z_k|^2 = 0 = \zeta_+(x_+) + \theta_+ \partial_+ a_0(x_+) \quad (26)$$

which implies that $\zeta_+ = 0$ and a_0 is a constant and, by symmetry, we also have $\zeta_- = 0$ and a_1 is a constant. We thus obtain the following property of the functions z_k :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \log |z_k|^2 = \kappa_{N-1}, \quad (27)$$

where κ_{N-1} is a constant.

2. The second observation that one can make is that the topological density \mathcal{Q}_k may be represented as the difference of two quantities [20]. Indeed, defining

$$\xi_+^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\mathcal{Q}_i - \mathcal{Q}_k), \quad \xi_-^k = \sum_{i=k+1}^{N-1} (\mathcal{Q}_k - \mathcal{Q}_i), \quad (28)$$

we can show, following the properties found in point 1, that

$$\xi_+^k - \xi_-^k = -N\mathcal{Q}_k. \quad (29)$$

This follows from the definition of the quantities ξ_+^k and ξ_-^k , where we deduce that

$$\xi_+^k - \xi_-^k = (1-N)\mathcal{Q}_k + 2 \sum_{i \neq k} \check{\partial}_- \check{\partial}_+ \log |z_i|^2 = (1-N)\mathcal{Q}_k + \sum_{i \neq k} \mathcal{Q}_i \quad (30)$$

and, from the property (22), this implies the relation (29).

3 Exact, closed and $su(N)$ -valued superforms

In this section, we describe differential forms in superspace [18, 19], called superforms, and the conditions for which these superforms are exact, closed and $su(N)$ -valued.

Let $f = f(x_+, x_-, \theta_+, \theta_-)$ be a function of superspace or, equivalently, a 0-superform, we define the exterior derivative d by its action on f as

$$df = dx_+ \partial_+ f + dx_- \partial_- f + d\theta_+ \partial_{\theta_+} f + d\theta_- \partial_{\theta_-} f. \quad (31)$$

Using the superderivatives (5) as $\partial_{\theta_{\pm}} = i(\check{\partial}_{\pm} - \theta_{\pm} \partial_{\pm})$, we can re-write this expression as

$$df = A_+ \partial_+ f + A_- \partial_- f + \Pi_+ \check{\partial}_+ f + \Pi_- \check{\partial}_- f, \quad (32)$$

where $A_{\pm} = dx_{\pm} - i(d\theta_{\pm})\theta_{\pm}$ and $\Pi_{\pm} = i d\theta_{\pm}$ are, respectively, bosonic and fermionic 1-superforms. The exterior derivative satisfies $d^2 = 0$ and the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} dx_{\mu} \wedge dx_{\nu} &= -dx_{\nu} \wedge dx_{\mu}, & x_{\mu} dx_{\nu} &= (dx_{\nu})x_{\mu}, & d(x_{\mu} dx_{\nu}) &= dx_{\mu} \wedge dx_{\nu}, \\ d\theta_{\mu} \wedge dx_{\nu} &= -dx_{\nu} \wedge d\theta_{\mu}, & \theta_{\mu} dx_{\nu} &= (dx_{\nu})\theta_{\mu}, & d(\theta_{\mu} dx_{\nu}) &= d\theta_{\mu} \wedge dx_{\nu}, \\ d\theta_{\mu} \wedge d\theta_{\nu} &= d\theta_{\nu} \wedge d\theta_{\mu}, & \theta_{\mu} d\theta_{\nu} &= -(d\theta_{\nu})\theta_{\mu}, & d(\theta_{\mu} d\theta_{\nu}) &= d\theta_{\mu} \wedge d\theta_{\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

for all $\mu, \nu = +, -$ and, unlike bosonic models, we have that $d\theta_{\mu} \wedge d\theta_{\mu} \neq 0$. From these properties, we may define the action of the exterior derivative d on 1-superforms. Concerning this matter, a general 1-superform α is given as

$$\alpha = A_+ a_+ + A_- a_- + \Pi_+ \pi_+ + \Pi_- \pi_-, \quad (34)$$

where a_{\pm} and π_{\pm} are, respectively, bosonic and fermionic functions of $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$. For the purpose of the paper, we enumerate the conditions for which a 1-superform is $su(N)$ -valued, closed and exact. Before doing so, let us make some preliminary calculations. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha &= -\Pi_+ \wedge \Pi_+ (ia_+ + \check{\partial}_+ \pi_+) - \Pi_- \wedge \Pi_- (ia_- + \check{\partial}_- \pi_-) - \Pi_+ \wedge \Pi_- (\check{\partial}_+ \pi_- + \check{\partial}_- \pi_+) \\ &+ A_+ \wedge A_- (\partial_+ a_- - \partial_- a_+) - A_+ \wedge \Pi_+ (\check{\partial}_+ a_+ - \partial_+ \pi_+) - A_+ \wedge \Pi_- (\check{\partial}_- a_+ - \partial_+ \pi_-) \\ &- A_- \wedge \Pi_- (\check{\partial}_- a_- - \partial_- \pi_-) - A_- \wedge \Pi_+ (\check{\partial}_+ a_- - \partial_- \pi_+) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \wedge \alpha &= -A_+ \wedge \Pi_+ [\pi_+, a_+] - A_+ \wedge \Pi_- [\pi_-, a_+] - A_- \wedge \Pi_- [\pi_-, a_-] - A_- \wedge \Pi_+ [\pi_+, a_-] \\ &- \Pi_+ \wedge \Pi_+ \pi_+^2 - \Pi_- \wedge \Pi_- \pi_-^2 - \Pi_+ \wedge \Pi_- \{\pi_+, \pi_-\} + A_+ \wedge A_- [a_+, a_-]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The last expression, present in the theorem of Khemar [16], will be used in the subsequent sections. The first expression is useful in proving the following [18, 19]:

1. $\alpha \in su(N)$: The 1-superform α is $su(N)$ -valued if it is defined by a $N \times N$ matrix satisfying $\alpha^\dagger = -\alpha$ and $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0$. Following the facts that $A_+^\dagger = A_-$ and $\Pi_+^\dagger = -\Pi_-$, we have

$$\alpha^\dagger = A_+ a_-^\dagger + A_- a_+^\dagger + \Pi_+ \pi_-^\dagger + \Pi_- \pi_+^\dagger = -\alpha, \quad \text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0 \quad (37)$$

if and only if $a_+^\dagger = -a_-$ and $\pi_+^\dagger = -\pi_-$ together with $\text{Tr}(a_\pm) = \text{Tr}(\pi_\pm) = 0$. As a conclusion, a general 1-superform α is $su(N)$ -valued if it as the following form

$$\alpha = A_+ a_+ - A_- a_+^\dagger + \Pi_+ \pi_+ - \Pi_- \pi_+^\dagger \quad (38)$$

together with $\text{Tr}(a_\pm) = \text{Tr}(\pi_\pm) = 0$.

2. Closed superforms: A k -superform β is closed if it satisfies $d\beta = 0$. In the case of the 1-superform $\alpha \in su(N)$, we have that α is closed if

$$a_+ = i\check{\partial}_+ \pi_+, \quad \check{\partial}_- \pi_+ - \check{\partial}_+ \pi_+^\dagger = 0. \quad (39)$$

3. Exact superforms: A k -superform β is exact if there exist a $(k-1)$ -superform γ such that $\beta = d\gamma$. In the case of the 1-superform $\alpha \in su(N)$, we have that α is exact if there exist a 0-superform f such that $\alpha = df$. This equation is equivalent to

$$\partial_+ f = a_+, \quad \partial_- f = -a_+^\dagger, \quad \check{\partial}_+ f = \pi_+, \quad \check{\partial}_- f = -\pi_+^\dagger. \quad (40)$$

This system is compatible if and only if the conditions given in (39) are satisfied. This is compatible with the fact that an exact superform is automatically closed.

4 Maurer-Cartan superforms in superspace $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$

In this section, following the theorem of Khemar [16], we describe the 1-superforms in superspace $\mathbb{C}^{1|1}$ associated to the supersymmetric CP^{N-1} model which are of the Maurer-Cartan form. In the formulation of the theorem of Khemar and from the results of the bosonic model [7], we choose $\mathfrak{g} = su(N)$ and $G = SU(N) \subset U(N)$. In this case, the 1-superforms α are completely described as in (38) and are solutions of the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$d\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha = 0 \quad (41)$$

if and only if the following system of equations is satisfied

$$ia_+ + \check{\partial}_+ \pi_+ + \pi_+^2 = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\check{\partial}_- \pi_+ - \check{\partial}_+ \pi_+^\dagger - \{\pi_+, \pi_+^\dagger\} = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\partial_+ a_+^\dagger + \partial_- a_+ + [a_+, a_+^\dagger] = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$\partial_+ \pi_+ - \check{\partial}_+ a_+ + [a_+, \pi_+] = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\partial_+ \pi_+^\dagger + \check{\partial}_- a_+ + [a_+, \pi_+^\dagger] = 0. \quad (46)$$

The first equation (42) of this system gives an explicit expression of the bosonic quantity a_+ in terms of the fermionic quantity π_+ . Indeed, we get

$$a_+ = i(\check{\partial}_+ \pi_+ + \pi_+^2). \quad (47)$$

Let us now consider equation (43) of the above system and seek for a solution of the form

$$\pi_+ = i\gamma_+ \varphi_+, \quad (48)$$

where γ_+ is a bosonic scalar and φ_+ was defined in equation (12). For \mathbb{P} a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations (11), direct calculations lead to

$$\check{\partial}_- \pi_+ = \gamma_+ ([\check{\partial}_- \check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}] - \{\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}, \check{\partial}_- \mathbb{P}\}) = -\gamma_+ \{\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}, \check{\partial}_- \mathbb{P}\} \quad (49)$$

and

$$\pi_+ \pi_+^\dagger = \gamma_+ \gamma_+^\dagger \check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P} \check{\partial}_- \mathbb{P} \quad (50)$$

from which the equation (43) of the system becomes

$$(\gamma_+ + \gamma_+^\dagger + \gamma_+ \gamma_+^\dagger) \{ \check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}, \check{\partial}_- \mathbb{P} \} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \gamma_+ + \gamma_+^\dagger + \gamma_+ \gamma_+^\dagger = 0. \quad (51)$$

This equation may be solved in terms of a free complex parameter λ . Indeed, we obtain

$$\gamma_+ = \lambda - 1, \quad |\lambda|^2 = 1. \quad (52)$$

We may thus calculate the explicit expressions of a_+ and π_+ given, respectively, in (47) and (48). We get

$$a_+^\lambda = (\lambda - 1) [\partial_+ \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}] - i(\lambda^2 - 1) (\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P})^2, \quad \pi_+^\lambda = (\lambda - 1) [\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}], \quad (53)$$

where we have added the superscript λ to emphasise the dependence on this free complex parameter. It remains to show that equations (44), (45) and (46) are satisfied.

4.1 The special case of $\lambda = -1$

In this special case, we have that the expressions given in (53) reduce to

$$a_+^{-1} = -2[\partial_+ \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}], \quad \pi_+^{-1} = -2[\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}] \quad (54)$$

and, it is direct calculations, to show that the system of equations (42)-(46) are satisfied. Furthermore, with such expressions, the 1-superform α may be compactly written as

$$\alpha = -2[d\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{P}] \quad (55)$$

and, from the theorem of Khemar [16], this 1-superform is of the Maurer-Cartan form. This means that there exist a map $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^{1|1} \longrightarrow G \subset U(N)$ such that α is given as

$$\alpha = \mathcal{F}^{-1} d\mathcal{F}. \quad (56)$$

The map \mathcal{F} can be explicitly constructed as

$$\mathcal{F} = Q(2\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{I}), \quad (57)$$

where $Q \in U(N)$ is a constant matrix. Indeed, we have from the properties of the projector \mathbb{P} , given in (9), that

$$\mathcal{F}^\dagger \mathcal{F} = 4\mathbb{P}^2 - 4\mathbb{P} + \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}, \quad (58)$$

which is equivalent to say that $\mathcal{F} \in U(N)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = (2\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{I})Q^\dagger$. Using the orthogonality property of \mathbb{P} , we have that $d\mathbb{P} = d\mathbb{P}^2 = (d\mathbb{P})\mathbb{P} + \mathbb{P}d\mathbb{P}$ from which we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} d\mathcal{F} = 2(2\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{I})d\mathbb{P} = 2(2\mathbb{P}d\mathbb{P} - d\mathbb{P}) = 2[\mathbb{P}, d\mathbb{P}] = \alpha. \quad (59)$$

As a final comment, in this section, we may choose Q in (57) so that $\mathcal{F} \in G = SU(N)$. Indeed, this follows directly from the fact that $\det(2\mathbb{P} - \mathbb{I}) = (-1)^{N-1}$.

4.2 The general case

In this section, we extend our analysis of the previous section without fixing $\lambda = -1$. Indeed, we showed that π_+^λ , given in (53), solves equation (43). From this fact, let us consider the system of first order equations given as

$$\check{\partial}_+ \mathcal{F}_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_\lambda \pi_+^\lambda, \quad \check{\partial}_- \mathcal{F}_\lambda = -\mathcal{F}_\lambda (\pi_+^\lambda)^\dagger, \quad (60)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\lambda : \mathbb{C}^{1|1} \longrightarrow U(N)$. We can observe that the compatibility of the set of equations (60), $\{\check{\partial}_+, \check{\partial}_-\}\mathcal{F}_\lambda = 0$, is equivalent to equation (43). In order to solve this system, we use the known solutions of the model \mathbb{P}_k , given in (15), to construct a $U(N)$ -valued map $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}$. For the first equation of system (60), we seek a solution $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}$ of the equation

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}^{-1} \check{\partial}_+ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} = \pi_+^{\lambda,k} = (\lambda - 1)[\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}_k, \mathbb{P}_k], \quad \forall \lambda \in S^1. \quad (61)$$

To solve this equation, we make the following ansatz

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} = Q_k \left(\mathbb{I} + \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j(\lambda) \mathbb{P}_j \right), \quad (62)$$

where $Q_k \in U(N)$ is a constant matrix and the functions $\beta_j = \beta_j(\lambda)$ are to be determined for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. For $\beta_j \neq -1$, it is direct to show that the inverse of the matrix $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}^{-1} = \left(\mathbb{I} - \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{\beta_j(\lambda)}{\beta_j(\lambda) + 1} \right) \mathbb{P}_j \right) Q_k^\dagger. \quad (63)$$

Note here that in the case where $\beta_j = -1$ for all j , then $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} \notin U(N)$. So, this case have to be rejected. Preliminary calculations lead to the expression

$$\check{\partial}_+ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} = Q_k \left(\beta_k \epsilon_{k+1} \frac{z_{k+1} \otimes z_k^\dagger}{|z_k|^2} + \sum_{m=1}^k \epsilon_m (\beta_{m-1} - \beta_m) \frac{z_m \otimes z_{m-1}^\dagger}{|z_{m-1}|^2} \right), \quad (64)$$

from which we deduce the left-hand side of equation (61):

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}^{-1} \check{\partial}_+ \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} = \beta_k \epsilon_{k+1} \frac{z_{k+1} \otimes z_k^\dagger}{|z_k|^2} + \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{\epsilon_m (\beta_{m-1} - \beta_m)}{\beta_m + 1} \frac{z_m \otimes z_{m-1}^\dagger}{|z_{m-1}|^2}. \quad (65)$$

On the other hand, the right-hand side of equation (61) as the explicit form

$$\pi_+^{\lambda,k} = (\lambda - 1) \epsilon_{k+1} \frac{z_{k+1} \otimes z_k^\dagger}{|z_k|^2} + (\lambda - 1) \epsilon_k \frac{z_k \otimes z_{k-1}^\dagger}{|z_{k-1}|^2}. \quad (66)$$

Using the orthogonality of the vectors z_k and comparing (65) with (66), we may deduce explicit expressions for the functions β_k . Indeed, we get the recurrence relations

$$\beta_k = \lambda - 1, \quad \beta_{k-1} = (1 + \beta_k)(\lambda - 1) + \beta_k, \quad \beta_{m-1} = \beta_m, \quad (67)$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$. These recurrence relations are direct to solve and one gets the solution $\beta_k = \lambda - 1$ and $\beta_m = \lambda^2 - 1$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. We thus get an expression for $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}$, solution of equation (61), given as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} = Q_k \left(\mathbb{I} - \sum_{j=0}^k \mathbb{P}_j + \lambda \mathbb{P}_k + \lambda^2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{P}_j \right) \quad (68)$$

and, for $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}$ to be an element of $U(N)$, it must satisfy

$$\mathbb{I} = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}^\dagger \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} = \mathbb{I} + (|\lambda|^4 - 1) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{P}_j + (|\lambda|^2 - 1) \mathbb{P}_k \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \lambda \in S^1. \quad (69)$$

The second equation of (60) is obtained from the first by complex conjugation and using the fact that $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} \in U(N)$.

We may go further with the study of the map $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}$ and relate it to a linear system of first order equations. Indeed, inspired by the Sym-Tafel formula [12, 13], we have the property that

$$\mathbf{Y}_k \equiv \mathbb{P}_k + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{P}_j = \lambda \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k}^{-1} \partial_\lambda \mathcal{F}_{\lambda,k} \quad (70)$$

and this expression may be related to the system of linear equations for a map $\mathbf{X}_k \in su(N)$ defined as

$$\check{\partial}_+ \mathbf{X}_k = \frac{i}{2} \pi_+^{-1,k} = -i[\check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}_k, \mathbb{P}_k], \quad \check{\partial}_- \mathbf{X}_k = \frac{i}{2} (\pi_+^{-1,k})^\dagger = i[\check{\partial}_- \mathbb{P}_k, \mathbb{P}_k]. \quad (71)$$

This set of equations is compatible in the sense that $\{\check{\partial}_+, \check{\partial}_-\} \mathbf{X}_k = 0$ if and only if \mathbb{P}_k is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations (11). Furthermore, direct calculations show that

$$\pi_+^{-1,k} = -2\check{\partial}_+ \mathbf{Y}_k \implies \mathbf{X}_k = i \left(\frac{(1+2k)}{N} \mathbb{I} - \mathbf{Y}_k \right). \quad (72)$$

In the bosonic model, the study of the maps (or $su(N)$ -valued surfaces) \mathbf{X} as been addressed in numerous papers [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Indeed, some of them, characterized such surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature and gave a classification of solutions \mathbb{P} leading to such surfaces. We have, so far, not investigated these surfaces in the supersymmetric context, but this is part of our future projects. As a preliminary observation and possible generalisation of the components of the metric g , we define the first fundamental form \mathcal{I} of these surfaces as

$$\mathcal{I} = \langle d\mathbf{X}, d\mathbf{X} \rangle = g_{ij} dx_i dx_j + g_{i\theta_\alpha} dx_i d\theta_\alpha + g_{\theta_\alpha i} d\theta_\alpha dx_i + g_{\theta_\alpha \theta_\beta} d\theta_\alpha d\theta_\beta, \quad (73)$$

where $i, j, \alpha, \beta = +, -$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ are the components of the supermetric [18]. The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual one on $su(N)$ namely $\langle A, B \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(AB)$ for $A, B \in su(N)$. Explicit calculations lead to

$$d\mathbf{X} = i dx_+ \check{\partial}_+ \varphi_+ - i dx_- \check{\partial}_- \varphi_+^\dagger + d\theta_+ (\theta_+ \check{\partial}_+ \varphi_+ + i\varphi_+) - d\theta_- (\theta_- \check{\partial}_- \varphi_+^\dagger + i\varphi_+^\dagger), \quad (74)$$

where φ_+ was previously defined in (12) and this allows us to give explicit expressions for the supermetric components $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{++} &= \langle m_+, m_+ \rangle, & g_{--} &= \langle m_+^\dagger, m_+^\dagger \rangle, & g_{+-} &= \langle m_+, m_+^\dagger \rangle, & g_{\theta_+ \theta_-} &= \langle \rho_+^\dagger, \rho_+ \rangle \\ g_{+\theta_+} &= \langle m_+, \rho_+ \rangle, & g_{+\theta_-} &= \langle m_+, \rho_+^\dagger \rangle, & g_{-\theta_+} &= -\langle m_+^\dagger, \rho_+ \rangle, & g_{-\theta_-} &= \langle m_+^\dagger, \rho_+^\dagger \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where $m_+ = i\check{\partial}_+ \varphi_+$ and $\rho_+ = \theta_+ \check{\partial}_+ \varphi_+ + i\varphi_+$. The other components of the supermetric are deduced from the facts that $g_{ij} = g_{ji}$, $g_{i\theta_\alpha} = g_{\theta_\alpha i}$ and $g_{\theta_\alpha \theta_\beta} = -g_{\theta_\beta \theta_\alpha}$. We can go further in the analysis of these components. We have

$$\rho_+ = \check{\partial}_+ (\varphi_+ \theta_+) \quad (76)$$

and, using the Taylor expansion $\varphi_+ = \xi_0 + i\theta_+ A_+ + i\theta_- A_- - \theta_+ \theta_- \xi_{+-}$, we deduce an explicit expression for ρ_+ given as

$$\rho_+ = i\xi_0 - \theta_- A_-. \quad (77)$$

In this formulation, the fields $A_\mu = A_\mu(x_+, x_-)$ are bosonic and $\xi_\mu = \xi_\mu(x_+, x_-)$ are fermionic. The components ξ_0 and A_- may be explicitly calculated. Indeed, we have

$$\xi_0 = \varphi_+|_{\theta_+ = \theta_- = 0}, \quad A_- = \check{\partial}_- \varphi_+|_{\theta_+ = \theta_- = 0}. \quad (78)$$

Using the Taylor expansion for the orthogonal set of vectors $\{z_j\}$ as

$$z_j = u_j + i\theta_+ \mu_j + i\theta_- \nu_j - \theta_+ \theta_- v_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (79)$$

we deduce the orthogonality of the set of vectors $\{u_j\}$ and, using the notation $\varphi_+^j = i[\mathbb{P}_j, \check{\partial}_+ \mathbb{P}_j]$, we get

$$\xi_0^j = -i \left(\epsilon_{j+1}^f \frac{u_{j+1} \otimes u_j^\dagger}{|u_j|^2} + \epsilon_j^f \frac{u_j \otimes u_{j-1}^\dagger}{|u_{j-1}|^2} \right), \quad (80)$$

$$A_-^j = i|\epsilon_{j+1}^f|^2 \frac{|u_{j+1}|^2}{|u_j|^2} (\check{\mathbb{P}}_j - \check{\mathbb{P}}_{j+1}) + i|\epsilon_j^f|^2 \frac{|u_j|^2}{|u_{j-1}|^2} (\check{\mathbb{P}}_{j-1} - \check{\mathbb{P}}_j), \quad (81)$$

where $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_k^f + i\theta_+ \epsilon_k^b$ and $\check{\mathbb{P}}_k = \frac{u_k \otimes u_k^\dagger}{|u_k|^2}$ are hermitian orthogonal projectors of rank one for all k . This allows us to calculate the explicit expression of the component $g_{\theta_+ \theta_-}^j$ and we get

$$g_{\theta_+ \theta_-}^j = -\frac{1}{2} \left(|\epsilon_{j+1}^f|^2 \frac{|u_{j+1}|^2}{|u_j|^2} + |\epsilon_j^f|^2 \frac{|u_j|^2}{|u_{j-1}|^2} \right) + \theta_+ \theta_- |\epsilon_j^f|^2 |\epsilon_{j+1}^f|^2 \frac{|u_{j+1}|^2}{|u_{j-1}|^2}. \quad (82)$$

In the hypothesis, where the above quantities are element of a one-dimensional complex Grassmann algebra [18, 19] spanned by the generator η_+ with $\eta_+^\dagger = \eta_-$ and $\eta_+ = \eta_1 + i\eta_2$, we can write $\epsilon_k^f = a_k^+ \eta_+ + a_k^- \eta_-$, $u_k = b_k + \eta_+ \eta_- b_k^{+-}$ and $\theta_+ = c_+ \eta_+ + c_- \eta_-$ for a_k^μ and c_μ commuting scalar quantities and b_k^μ bosonic vectors. In this special case, the supermetric component $g_{\theta_+ \theta_-}^j$ take the explicit form

$$g_{\theta_+ \theta_-}^j = \frac{\eta_+ \eta_-}{2} \left((|a_{j+1}^+|^2 - |a_{j+1}^-|^2) \frac{|b_{j+1}|^2}{|b_j|^2} + (|a_j^+|^2 - |a_j^-|^2) \frac{|b_j|^2}{|b_{j-1}|^2} \right). \quad (83)$$

This metric component was studied in [21] to describe conformal maps in the supersymmetric context.

5 Future outlooks

In this paper, we have presented a new perspective of the two-dimensional supersymmetric \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} sigma model from a supergeometric [18, 19] point of view. Indeed, from a theorem of Khemar [16] regarding superharmonic maps, we have classified the 1-superforms which are of the Maurer-Cartan form and related to the \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} model. From these Maurer-Cartan 1-superforms, we have extended our analysis to the associated linear spectral problem and found its explicit solutions in terms of the recently discovered solutions of the supersymmetric \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} model. The solutions of the linear spectral problem were used, from the Sym-Tafel [12, 13] and Weierstrass immersion [15] formulas, to determine $su(N)$ -valued maps (or surfaces) which are solutions of an integrable system of partial differential equations.

In the bosonic model, the geometry of the $su(N)$ -valued surfaces were extensively studied. In the supersymmetric context, we have constructed for the first time this kind of surfaces and a complete study of the geometry of these surfaces remains. This perspective is part of our future outlooks such as the study of constant Gaussian curvature surfaces. In this paper and in [21], preliminary observations and generalisations were made on this subject.

As a final comment, in [1], new solutions were presented for this model but the density of this set was not shown. So, we may have missed some solutions. Showing the density of this set of solutions, described in section 2, is an open problem and remains part of our current research.

Acknowledgement

The author acknowledges a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) postdoctoral fellowship. The author would like to personally thank Véronique Hussin, Wojtek J. Zakrzewski and Frédéric Hélein for helpful discussions and remarks.

References

- [1] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W. J. Zakrzewski (2015) General solutions of the supersymmetric \mathbb{CP}^2 sigma model and its generalisation to \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} , *arXiv:1507.08508*.
- [2] L. Delisle, V. Hussin, İ. Yurduşen and W. J. Zakrzewski (2015) Constant curvature surfaces of the supersymmetric \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} sigma model, *J. Math. Phys.* **56**, 023506.
- [3] V. Hussin and W. J. Zakrzewski (2006) Susy CP^{N-1} model and surfaces in \mathbb{R}^{N^2-1} , *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 14231.
- [4] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W. J. Zakrzewski (2013) Constant curvature solutions of Grassmannian sigma models: (1) Holomorphic solutions, *J. Geom. Phys.* **66**, 24–36.
- [5] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W. J. Zakrzewski (2013) Constant curvature solutions of Grassmannian sigma models: (2) Non-holomorphic solutions, *J. Geom. Phys.* **71**, 1–10.
- [6] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W. J. Zakrzewski (2015) Geometry of surfaces associated to Grassmannian sigma models, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **597**, 012029.
- [7] A. M. Grundland, A. Strasburger and W. J. Zakrzewski (2006) Surfaces immersed in $su(N+1)$ Lie algebras obtained from the \mathbb{CP}^N sigma models, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 9187–9214.
- [8] J. Bolton, G. R. Jensen, M. Rigoli and L. M. Woodward (1988) On conformal minimal immersions of S^2 into \mathbb{CP}^n , *Math. Ann.* **279**, 599–620.
- [9] X. X. Jiao and J. G. Peng (2004) Classification of holomorphic two-spheres with constant curvature in the complex Grassmannians $G_{2,5}$, *Differ. Geom. Its Appl.* **20**, 267–277.
- [10] C. Peng and X. Xu (2014) Minimal two-spheres with constant curvature in the complex Grassmannians, *Israel J. Math.* **202**, 1–20.
- [11] C. Peng and X. Xu (2015) Classification of minimal homogeneous two-spheres in the complex Grassmann manifold $G(2, n)$, *J. de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* **103**, 374–399.
- [12] P. P. Goldstein and A. M. Grundland (2010) Invariant recurrence relations for \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} models, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 265206.
- [13] A. M. Grundland and S. Post (2012) Soliton surfaces associated with \mathbb{CP}^{N-1} sigma models, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **380**, 012023.
- [14] W. J. Zakrzewski, *Low Dimensional Sigma Models*, Hilger, Bristol, 1989.
- [15] B. G. Konopelchenko and G. Landolfi (1999) Generalized Weierstrass representation for surfaces in multi-dimensional Riemann spaces, *J. Geom. Phys.* **29**, 319–333.
- [16] I. Khemar (2007) Supersymmetric harmonic maps into symmetric spaces, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57**, 1601–1630.
- [17] F. O’Dea (2001) Supersymmetric harmonic maps into Lie groups, *arXiv:hep-th/0112091*.
- [18] B. S. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [19] J. F. Cornwell, *Group theory in Physics: Supersymmetries and Infinite-Dimensional Algebras*, Techniques of Physics Vol. 3, Academic, New York, 1989.
- [20] A. M. Din and W. J. Zakrzewski (1981) Fermion solutions in the supersymmetric \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} model, *Phys. Lett. B* **101**, 166–168.

- [21] S. Bertrand, A. M. Grundland and A. Hariton (2015) Supersymmetric versions of the equations of conformally parametrized surfaces, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48**, 175208.